

United States Patent and Trademark Office

4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ΑT	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,979	03/30/2004	Scott Sibbett		21058/0206803-US0 8637		
75172	7590 01/24/2008			EXAMINER		
Intel Corporati c/o DARBY &	on DARBY P.C.	<u> </u>	NOGUEROLA, ALEXANDER STEPHAN			
P.O. BOX 770			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER		
CHURCH STREET STATION NEW YORK, NY 10008-0770				1795		
•					DEL WERV MODE	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•	•)	01/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/814,979		SIBBETT, SCOTT				
		Examiner	<u> </u>	Art Unit				
		ALEX NOGUE	ROLA	1795				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, he will apply and will exp , cause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be tind ire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/03/2007 (RCE).							
2a)⊠								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-11 and 13-25</u> is/are pending in t	the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5))☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-11 and 13-25</u> is/are rejected.							
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F Other:	ate				

10/814,979 Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed October 31, 2007 ("Amendment") have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In the Office action of August 31, 2007 the Examiner set forth three reasons why the instant application is not enabled.

Regarding the first reason, that the description of how convective flow is generated in specification paragraph [0018] is inconsistent, if not contradictory, Applicant has amended paragraph [0018]. However, this amendment replaces one inconsistency with another one. If negative (electroosmotic) pressure has been created in channel 18 due to flow of fluid into reservoir 14 from reservoir 16, how can fluid in channel 18 flow toward reservoir 16 when (a) it will be opposed by fluid flowing from reservoir 16 and channel 22 towards reservoir 14, (b) channel 22 is a region of suppressed electroosmotic flow and so will hinder the electroosmotic flow from reservoir 12 and channel 18, and (c) the driving force for the negative pressure in channel 18 is a negative pressure in channel 20 that will pull the fluid in channel 18 and reservoir 12 toward reservoir 14? In sum, under the conditions described in specification paragraph [0018] the preferred flow of fluid from reservoir 12 is towards reservoir 14, not towards reservoir 16.

Regarding the second reason for non-enablement, the original disclosure makes no mention of a fan, blower, or (mechanical) pump which Applicant implicitly asserts is Application/Control Number:

10/814,979

Art Unit: 1795

how "convective" flow would have been understood to be caused by one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Indeed, specification paragraph [0018], which is discussed above, only refers to flow due to negative pressure caused by electroosmotic flow in another region of the microchannel network. Such flow would not be deemed equivalent by one of ordinary skill in the art to flow caused by an external agent or external influence such as a fan, blower, or pump as noted in the textbook references cited by Applicant in his Amendment. Moreover, in the article provided by the Examiner in his previous Office action (Posner et al.), which it should be emphasized is concerned with electrokinete flow in a microchannel system with intersecting microchannels, convective flow is unstable, turbulent flow due to coupling of electric felids and ionic conductivity gradients. See the abstract; Figure 2; and the Conclusion. Thus, Applicant's description of convective flow in the original specification is ambiguous as to what is intended.

Regarding the third reason for non-enablement, while the Examiner acknowledges that Applicant may be his own lexicographer the Examiner disagrees 'that that [the] phrase "counteractive chromatography" is clearly defined in the specification.' The phrase "counteractive chromatography" occurs in three paragraphs in the specification, paragraphs [0021], [0037], and [0038]. In none of these paragraphs is "counteractive chromatography" defined as, for example, a term in a dictionary may be. Paragraph [0037] comes closest. However, it "defines" "counteractive chromatography" in terms of convective flow (as do the other two paragraphs) as a key aspect of the technique, which as argued in the previous Office action and this Office

Application/Control Number:

10/814,979 Art Unit: 1795

action is not enabled and is ambiguous. Thus, the phase "counteractive chromatography" is also not enabled and ambiguous. Since it is not enabled or clearly defined, the extent of usage of the phrase "counteractive chromatography" is relevant in determining how one with ordinary skill in the art would have understood the phrase. Applicant notes other research groups studying counteractive chromatography. Unless these groups publicly expressed what they understood "counteractive chromatography" to mean at the time of the invention this point is moot.

For the reasons set forth above the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph are maintained.

Status of the Objections and Rejections pending since the Office action of August 31, 2007

- 2. The rejections of claims 1-3, 5-11, and 13-25 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, are maintained.
- 3. The rejection of claim 22 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn.
- 4. The objections to claims 1 and 22 are withdrawn.

10/814,979 Art Unit: 1795

Final Rejection

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEX NOGUEROLA whose telephone number is (571) 272-1343. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NAM NGUYEN can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

My Moscle Alex Noguerola
Primary Examiner

AU 1795

January 22, 2008